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Summary

In March 2013, OA East carried out an archaeological watching brief at Great Cornard Mains replacement (TL 892 413). The monitoring was carried out during the replacement of a mains water pipe. Archaeological features identified during excavation of the pipe trench included one undated burnt tree-throw to the north of the trench and one possible post-medieval feature to the south-eastern end of the trench.
1 GEOLOGY AND TOPOGRAPHY

1.1.1 The pipeline begins at c. 50mOD on the A134 Newton Rd east of Sudbury, and rises steadily up to a plateau at c.70mOD at the reservoir. The land falls away sharply to the west into the Stour valley, with the plateau stretching eastwards to Newton.

1.1.2 The route traverses two fields, both currently arable. The underlying bedrock geology is of the Crag Group - Sand - overlain at the north by Sand And Gravel of the Kesgrave Catchment Subgroup and at the south by Lowestoft Formation - Diamicton (British Geological Survey).

2 ARCHAEOLOGICAL BACKGROUND

2.1.1 The Stour Valley forms part of a Bronze Age landscape, which is characterised by the presence of Bronze Age and earlier monuments, in the form of cursus monuments and barrows. These are located on the edge of the valley where the ground rises and are mainly concentrated in two areas at Bures St Mary and Long Melford (Brown et al, 2002). The site itself lies in between these two areas, where little archaeological investigation has occurred. The pipeline works will traverse the topography and cross areas potentially likely to have been settled or otherwise used in the Bronze Age.

2.1.2 Evidence of this prehistoric landscape within the vicinity of Great Cornard consists of a single ring ditch c25m in radius to the north at Chilton (CHT003), which lies along the same topographical location as the current site. A prehistoric trackway to the north-east has been suggested by crop marks visible on aerial photographs (WFG029)

2.1.3 A Roman road (WFG015) running north-northwest to south-southeast is visible c0.5km to the east of the site. The road followed the high ground and its course is visible as the modern-day Valley Road. The road was identified by Margary and catalogued as Road 322.

2.1.4 Medieval artefacts were recovered to the south at New Farm (MSF5770), suggesting Medieval occupation and settlement would have been present nearby. Further settlement-related debris was recovered to the east at Newton golf course, during a fieldwalking investigation (MSF13379).

3 METHODOLOGY

3.1.1 The objective of this watching brief was to determine as far as reasonably possible the presence/absence, location, nature, extent, date, quality, condition and significance of any surviving archaeological deposits within the development area.

3.1.2 The Brief required that provision be made for monitoring the removal of topsoil along the length of the pipe replacement, measuring c 600m by 10m. Additional monitoring of the actual pipe trench, 0.6m wide by 0.9m deep, was undertaken in areas where potential archaeological features or deposits were masked by a thick colluvial subsoil.

3.1.3 All archaeological features and deposits were recorded using OA East's pro-forma sheets. Trench locations, plans and sections were recorded at appropriate scales digital photographs were taken of all relevant features and deposits.

3.1.4 The general site conditions were good, with modern disturbance, limited to plough scarring
4 RESULTS

4.1 Topsoil Stripping
4.1.1 The topsoil (6) was a dark greyish brown silty clay. The depth varied along the route of the trench, being 0.6m at the bottom of the valley, thinning out to 0.3m at the brow of the hill. Monitoring was undertaken of removal of the topsoil, over a 10m width, until clear that colluvial subsoil was masking any potential archaeological features or deposits.

4.2 Pipe Trench
4.2.1 The pipe trench ran south-west to north-east along the edge of the modern road, then turned to the south-east to traverse the valley side up to the top to the hill. The pipe trench measured 0.6m wide and 0.9m deep.

4.2.2 At the lower, northern end of the trench a layer of mid reddish-brown silty clay colluvium (1) was encountered: a single struck flint and a small fragment of degraded lava quern were recovered from this material. The deposit was at least 0.9m in depth. Cutting through the colluvial layer a single burnt out tree-throw (3) was excavated. The tree-throw was 0.9m long with a visible width of 0.5m and 0.2m deep (see fig 3 for section). It contained a single fill (2) of mid greyish brown clay with occasional charcoal inclusions. No datable finds were recovered although fragments of burnt clay were present.

4.2.3 The colluvial layer thinned out as the pipe trench progressed up the slope to the water tower and was replaced by an 0.2m deep mid greyish brown sandy clay subsoil (7) c.20m from the bottom of the slope. The subsoil ran along the remaining length of the pipe trench.

4.2.4 To the south-eastern end of the pipeline a feature (5) was recorded within both sections (see fig 3): the feature was sealed by the subsoil. It measured 4.5m across and was seen to extend deeper than the 0.9m excavation limit of the pipe trench. The northern edge of the feature was steep and the southern edge was straight, but more gradual. It was filled by a mid reddish brown sandy clay (4) with occasional chalk flecks. A sherd of post-medieval ceramic-building material was recovered from within the fill.

5 DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSIONS

5.1.1 No evidence of prehistoric activity, with the exception of a single struck flint, was identified during the archaeological works, however the small scale nature of the intervention does not prove that no occupation of this area occurred during the prehistoric period. At the bottom of the valley an undated colluvial layer was identified, extending deeper than the level if disturbance and thus masking potential archaeological features or deposits.

5.1.2 The tree-throw (3) identified is undated, but given its proximity to the hedge line visible along the field boundary, and its position within the colluvial layer, it may be of modern date. To the southern end of the site a larger feature (5) was identified, this extended
beyond the limit of the works and its true extent and form is unknown. A single sherd of post-medieval tile was recovered from the upper fill, however this was a subsoil derived infilling which may have occurred considerably later in the life of the feature if deep.
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APPENDIX A. CONTEXT INVENTORY

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Context</th>
<th>Cut</th>
<th>Feature type</th>
<th>Width (m)</th>
<th>Depth (m)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>Colluvium</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>tree-throw</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td>tree-throw</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>Feature</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
<td>Feature</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
<td>Topsoil</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
<td>Subsoil</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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