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Summary

On the 27th May 2014 an archaeological evaluation was carried out at Millfield Primary School, Littleport in advance of a planned development on the site.

Works entailed the excavation of a 15m length of trenching covering a 27m² area of the site. The evaluation identified only two features both of which were found to be post-medieval field drains indicating agricultural land use during this period.
1 INTRODUCTION

1.1 Location and scope of work
1.1.1 An archaeological evaluation was conducted at Millfield Primary School, Littleport
1.1.2 This archaeological evaluation was undertaken in accordance with a Brief issued by Kasia Gdaniec of Cambridgeshire County Council, supplemented by a Specification prepared by OA East.
1.1.3 The work was designed to assist in defining the character and extent of any archaeological remains within the proposed redevelopment area, in accordance with the guidelines set out in National Planning Policy Framework (Department for Communities and Local Government March 2012). The results will enable decisions to be made by CCC, on behalf of the Local Planning Authority, with regard to the treatment of any archaeological remains found.
1.1.4 The site archive is currently held by OA East and will be deposited with the appropriate county stores in due course.

1.2 Geology and topography
1.2.1 As described by the British Geological Survey data the site lies on a geological boundary between superficial deposits of Oadby Member Anglian diamicton (boulder clay till) to the north and Glaciolfluvial Deposits of Mid Pleistocene Sand And Gravel to the south. Both of these superficial deposits overly Jurassic Period Kimmeridge Clay Formations. On site the geology recorded as context 001 comprised a mix of blueish grey clay and reddish yellow sands and gravels.

1.3 Archaeological and historical background
1.3.1 Important prehistoric remains have been found on the north and north-west side of Littleport island more than 5km away including a Bronze settlement sites at Plantation Farm. Neolithic and Bronze Age activity have been found approximately 1km to the south-west of Victoria Street (HER CB141). Two Bronze Age sparse lithic scatters have also been recorded approximately 1km and 2km to the south-west of the subject site (Hall 1996 fig.11 sites 17 and 18). The Iron Age is very poorly represented with only two sites recorded in the whole parish, both located to the north-west of Littleport island on higher ground at Butchers Hill approximately 3km to the north-west.

1.3.2 Roman remains from Camel Road saltern adjacent to the south side of the Old Croft River (CHER CB 139 and 140) 200m to the north of the subject site. Here, there was evidence of industrial activity involving the processing of salt (Macaulay 2002). There are potentially as much as 30 saltern sites along the Old Croft River (Macaulay 2002). The Roman road of Akeman Street is thought to run through Littleport, no trace of the road, however, has yet been found north of Ely. A Roman-British settlement was found to the west of Millfield, north of the school in 1999 (CHER ECB141), however subsequent evaluation within the school, conducted by OA East (formerly CAMARC/CCC AFU) did not record this archaeology extending into the school from the Northwest (CHER ECB2404). This excavation recorded a Roman pit and small undated gully.

1.3.3 Saxon settlement at Littleport was probably based around the hithe where the Old Croft ran close to the island. A Saxon cemetery was identified in 1999 at 'The Barns', with 97 graves were excavated to the south of the new road.
1.3.4 The Domesday Book (1086) records a vill and it is assumed that the present town cover part (if not all) of the medieval centre.

1.3.5 The site lies on an area of land still known as Littleport fields which prior to large scale drainage of the late 19th and 20th centuries represented the majority of the decent arable land in the area. Parts of this land were unlawfully enclosed as early as the mid 16th century and the process continued gradually through to complete enclosure in the mid 19th century (Pugh 2002).

1.4 Acknowledgements

1.4.1 The Author would like to thank Cambridge County Council for commissioning the works, Their Agents Coulson Building Group and David Watson of Coulson and Sons Ltd who acted as their on site representative.
2 AIMS AND METHODOLOGY

2.1 Aims
2.1.1 The objective of this evaluation was to determine as far as reasonably possible the presence/absence, location, nature, extent, date, quality, condition and significance of any surviving archaeological deposits within the development area.

2.2 Methodology
2.2.1 The Brief required that The evaluation should include a programme of linear trial trenching to adequately sample the threatened available area, while the topsoil and any lower soil horizons be checked for artefact content.

2.2.2 Machine excavation was carried out under constant archaeological supervision with a rubber tracked 360° excavator using a 1.8M wide toothless ditching bucket.

2.2.3 The site survey was carried out by John Diffey

2.2.4 Spoil, exposed surfaces and features were scanned with a metal detector. All metal-detected and hand-collected finds were retained for inspection, other than those which were obviously modern.

2.2.5 All archaeological features and deposits were recorded using OA East's pro-forma sheets. Trench locations, plans and sections were recorded at appropriate scales and colour and monochrome photographs were taken of all relevant features and deposits.

2.2.6 Site Conditions were reasonable with dry weather although recent rainfall had saturated the ground and this combined with a high water table meant there was a lot of water ingress at the base of the trenches.
3 RESULTS

3.1 Introduction
3.1.1 The results are subdivided below by trench number

3.2 Trench 1
3.2.1 Trench 1 measured 10×1.8m and was aligned NNE-SSW. The natural geology 001 was reached at a depth of 1m (18.69mOD) at the SSW end of the trench and 0.6m (19.26mOD) at the NNE end of the trench.

3.2.2 Two features were identified cutting the natural geology the first 006 comprised a brick and tile field drain measuring 3m in length and aligned NNW-SSE from the NE corner of the trench. The construction used mould formed bricks of yellow clay oxidised to red on the outer surface containing chalk and flint temper, these measured 65mm×110mm×220mm with an arched hollow in the base. The arched hollow was then set on a flat yellow tile measuring 12mm×110mm×220mm. This construction dates from the late 18th / early 19th century (Arnott 1974).

3.2.3 The second feature cutting the natural geology was another field drain 007 which ran for 7m from the SSW end of the trench aligned N-S and running out of the western edge of the trench 3m SSW of its NNE end. This drain was of a later tile pipe construction with the pipe set into a cut 0.2m wide and 0.2m deep this type of field drain construction dates to the mid to late 19th century (Arnott 1974).

3.2.4 The natural geology and features cutting it were overlain by a layer of buried subsoil 002 comprising a dark soft greyish blue sandy silt containing small sub rounded flint stones. Overlaying this buried subsoil was a buried topsoil 003 comprising a dark soft greyish brown sandy silt containing small sub-rounded flint stones. 003 was in turn overlain by a layer of modern construction debris 004 comprised mainly of brick tile mortar and concrete, this layer was thickest at the SSW end of the trench thinning toward the NNE before petering out 3m from the NNE end of the trench. The material in 004 derives from the recent construction of the school building immediately south of the site. This layer of construction debris was overlain by a layer of imported topsoil 005 comprised of a mid brown sandy silt and formed a turfed area adjacent to the school playing fields.

3.3 Trench 2
3.3.1 Trench 2 measured 5×1.8m was aligned ESE-WNW and ran from the SSE end of trench 1 which it adjoined. Trench 2 was devoid of archaeology and had the same stratigraphic make up as is described in trench 1 above.

3.4 Finds Summary
3.4.1 No finds were recovered.
4 DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSIONS

4.1 Findings

4.1.1 The evaluation found no evidence of prehistoric activity on the site indicating that the dense bronze age and Roman activity found north of the site did not extend this far. It is possible that the site's importance as arable and pasture land in the pre-drained fenland environment prevented its development for settlement or industry. The only archaeological evidence found were the post-medieval field drains which likely represent early drainage schemes to improve productivity after the land was enclosed in the 18th or 19th centuries. The only other archaeological contexts recorded relate to the modern period and represent previous development of the school.

4.1.2 Although very little archaeological evidence was found on the site and the evidence that was found was of relatively recent date the lack of prehistoric evidence for settlement or industry and the dominance of agriculture as an activity of the site in the more recent past may have some significance in understanding the wider landscape of Littleport and its uses.

4.2 Recommendations

4.2.1 Recommendations for any future work based upon this report will be made by the County Archaeology Office.
**APPENDIX A. TRENCH DESCRIPTIONS AND CONTEXT INVENTORY**

### Trench 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Context no</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Width (m)</th>
<th>Depth (m)</th>
<th>Comment</th>
<th>Finds</th>
<th>Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>001</td>
<td>Layer</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Natural Geology</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>002</td>
<td>Layer</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.22-0.34</td>
<td>Buried Subsoil</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>003</td>
<td>Layer</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.12-0.22</td>
<td>Buried Topsoil</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>004</td>
<td>Layer</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.24-0.00</td>
<td>Modern Construction Debris</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Modern</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>005</td>
<td>Layer</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.12-0.28</td>
<td>Imported Topsoil</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Modern</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>006</td>
<td>Brick and Tile Field drain</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.075</td>
<td>Brick and tile</td>
<td>Post-medieval</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>007</td>
<td>Field Drain</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Post-medieval</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Trench 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Context no</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Width (m)</th>
<th>Depth (m)</th>
<th>Comment</th>
<th>Finds</th>
<th>Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>001</td>
<td>Layer</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Natural Geology</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>002</td>
<td>Layer</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.28-0.34</td>
<td>Buried Subsoil</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>003</td>
<td>Layer</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.10-0.12</td>
<td>Buried Topsoil</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>004</td>
<td>Layer</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.2-0.24</td>
<td>Modern Construction Debris</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Modern</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>005</td>
<td>Layer</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.28-0.3</td>
<td>Imported Topsoil</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Modern</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Figure 1: Site location showing archaeological trench (outlined black) in development area (red)
Figure 2: Trench plan and drawn sections
Plate 1: Site Overview from South-east (trench 2 in foreground).

Plate 2: Trench 1 Overview from North-north-east.
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