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Summary

A small, three trench evaluation was undertaken by Oxford Archaeology east on 20th February 2015 at 68 Long Street, Great Ellingham, Norfolk (TM 01993 95754). The works uncovered several small undated extraction pits and two linear ditches of post-medieval date. Reused brick rubble had been placed within one of the ditches to form either a French drain or soak away, this was dated to c.17th century.
1 INTRODUCTION

1.1 Location and scope of work
1.1.1 An archaeological evaluation was conducted at 68 Long Street, Great Ellingham (TM 01993 95754).

1.1.2 This archaeological evaluation was undertaken in accordance with a Brief issued by James Albone of Norfolk County Council (NCC; Planning Application 3PL/2014/1366/F), supplemented by a Specification prepared by OA East (Lambert 2015).

1.1.3 The work was designed to assist in defining the character and extent of any archaeological remains within the proposed redevelopment area, in accordance with the guidelines set out in National Planning Policy Framework (Department for Communities and Local Government March 2012). The results will enable decisions to be made by NCC, on behalf of the Local Planning Authority, with regard to the treatment of any archaeological remains found.

1.1.4 The site archive is currently held by OA East and will be deposited with the appropriate county stores in due course.

1.2 Geology and topography
1.2.1 The site was located on level ground to the west of Long Street and in the south of Great Ellingham, at approximately 37m OD. The site is situated on a bedrock geology of Lewes Nodular chalk with overlying superficial glacial deposits of the Lowestoft formation (http://mapapps.bgs.ac.uk/geologyofbritain/home.html accessed 10/3/2015).

1.3 Archaeological and historical background
1.3.1 A 1km search was commissioned from Norfolk Historical Records Office, the following is a synthesis of this search.

Prehistoric
1.3.2 Bronze Age and Iron Age activity has been identified within the search area (NHER 50741). Neolithic flint work has also been recovered from the search area, including an axehead located to the north of the development area (NHER 9073).

Roman
1.3.3 A substantial amount of Roman activity is recorded in the area around the development. This includes a cemetery site (NHER 4257) plus potential building evidence and cropmarks of field systems (NHER 58556) to the south and west of the development (NHER 9083). Roman finds have also been recovered by metal detector in this area (NHER 50469 & 50471).

Sax and Med
1.3.4 A possible Anglo-Saxon cemetery has been identified to the west of the development by metal detecting (NHER 9082). The village of Great Ellingham, located to the north of the development area, has a number of medieval listed buildings and medieval material has been excavated within the village (NHER 40325).

Post med
1.3.5 A number of post-medieval buildings are also listed to the north within Great Ellingham (NHER 4258).
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2 AIMS AND METHODOLOGY

2.1 Aims

2.1.1 The objective of this evaluation was to determine as far as reasonably possible the presence/absence, location, nature, extent, date, quality, condition and significance of any surviving archaeological deposits within the development area.

2.2 Methodology

2.2.1 The Brief required that three 15m trenches were excavated with the possibility of a fourth trench, should the initial three produce positive results. After consultation with James Albone it was decided that the initial three trenches were sufficient.

2.2.2 Machine excavation was carried out under constant archaeological supervision with a 360° mechanical excavator using a 2.1m toothless ditching bucket.

2.2.3 The site survey was carried out by Dave Brown using Leica DGPS.

2.2.4 Spoil, exposed surfaces and features were scanned with a metal detector. All metal-detected and hand-collected finds were retained for inspection, other than those which were obviously modern.

2.2.5 All archaeological features and deposits were recorded using OA East's pro-forma sheets. Trench locations, plans and sections were recorded at appropriate scales and colour and monochrome photographs were taken of all relevant features and deposits.

2.2.6 No environmental sampling was taken as all features identified were either undated or of post-medieval date.

2.2.7 The site was excavated in good dry weather. However, the low lying nature of the site and high water table meant that the deeper features were waterlogged and difficult to excavate.
3 RESULTS

3.1 Introduction
3.1.1 The results are presented by trench with a context inventory presented in Appendix A. All trenches were 2.1m wide and 15m long. They were excavated through a mid/dark brown silty sand topsoil layer (1) that was 0.3m thick, and a mid red-brown sandy clay subsoil layer (2), also 0.3m thick, overlying a natural of reddish-brown to brownish-yellow sands and gravels unless otherwise stated.

3.2 Trench 1 (Fig. 2)
3.2.1 Two potential, undated sub-circular pits were located next to each other in the centre of Trench 1, both of which extended beyond the south-west baulk. The southernmost pit (4) was 1.7m long, at least 1.1m wide and 0.2m deep with gradually sloping sides and a relatively flat base that contained a single mid brown-grey silty clay fill (3).
3.2.2 The second pit (6) was 1.55m long, at least 0.75m wide and 0.2m deep with gradually sloping sides and a flat base that contained a single fill (5) of a mid brown-grey clay that was similar to fill 3.

3.3 Trench 2 (Fig. 2)
3.3.1 The eastern end of Trench 2 was excavated through topsoil (1) and a layer of redeposited mid reddish-brown sandy clay subsoil (7) with frequent flecks of ceramic building material sealing a single ditch (10) and a pit (12). Ditch 10 was at least 1.3m wide and 0.2m deep with one steep regular side visible. Due to flooding it was not possible to see the base of the feature. It contained two fills, an upper fill (8) of mid greyish-brown sandy clay 0.2m thick and a lower fill (9) of reused and broken ceramic building material fragments, that formed a French drain or soak-away within the ditch. The lower fill produced ceramic building material of c.17th century date (Atkins pers. comm.).
3.3.2 Pit 12 was sub-circular and 2m in diameter, at least 0.45m wide and 0.15m deep with gradually sloped sides and a flat base. It contained a single fill (11) of mid grey-brown sandy clay with frequent fragments of iron pan and manganese.
3.3.3 A small, modern machine dug pit was located at the western end of the trench.

3.4 Trench 3 (Fig. 2)
3.4.1 A single 1.5m wide ditch (14) was located at the southern end of Trench 3. Ditch 14 was 0.15m wide with steep sides and a flat base containing a single fill (13) of reddish-grey silty clay. This produced a single fragment of undiagnostic ceramic building material.

3.5 Finds Summary
3.5.1 Two fragments of ceramic building material (CBM) were recovered from ditches 9 and 14. The fragment recovered from ditch 14 was not closely datable, whilst the fragment from ditch 9 was of c.17th century date (Atkins pers. comm.).
4 DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSIONS

4.1 Discussion
4.1.1 The dated features were either of post-medieval or modern date and it is likely that the undated features were of a similar date. The two ditches found in Trenches 2 and 3 may relate to field boundaries shown on the tithe map, but are not present on the 1st edition OS map.

4.1.2 The three undated pits were similar in form and all contained slightly reduced fills suggesting that they were deposited in wet conditions. It is likely that the three pits related to small scale extraction of the local sands and gravels.

4.2 Significance
4.2.1 No significant archaeological deposits were recovered, with only two post-medieval ditches and three small undated pits found.

4.3 Recommendations
4.3.1 Recommendations for any future work based upon this report will be made by the County Archaeology Office.
## APPENDIX A. TRENCH DESCRIPTIONS AND CONTEXT INVENTORY

### Trench 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>General description</th>
<th>Orientation</th>
<th>NE-SW</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Trench contained two undated pits</td>
<td>Avg. depth (m)</td>
<td>0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Width (m)</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Length (m)</td>
<td>15</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Contexts

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>context no</th>
<th>type</th>
<th>Width (m)</th>
<th>Depth (m)</th>
<th>comment</th>
<th>finds</th>
<th>date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Layer</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>Topsoil</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Layer</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>Subsoil</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Fill</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>Fill of 4</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Cut</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>Cut of pit</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Fill</td>
<td>1.55</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>Fill of 6</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Cut</td>
<td>1.55</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>Cut of pit</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Trench 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>General description</th>
<th>Orientation</th>
<th>SE-NW</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Trench contained a single post-medieval ditch, a single undated pit and a modern machine dug pit pit cutting into sand and gravel natural</td>
<td>Avg. depth (m)</td>
<td>0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Width (m)</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Length (m)</td>
<td>15</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Contexts

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>context no</th>
<th>type</th>
<th>Width (m)</th>
<th>Depth (m)</th>
<th>comment</th>
<th>finds</th>
<th>date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Layer</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>Topsoil</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Layer</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>Redeposited Subsoil</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Fill</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>Fill of 10</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Fill</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>Fill of 10</td>
<td>CBM</td>
<td>c.17th century</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Cut</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>Cut of ditch</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Fill</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>Fill of 12</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Cut</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>Cut of Pit</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trench</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General description</td>
<td>Orientation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trench contained a single post-medieval ditch cutting into sand and gravel natural</td>
<td>SSE-NNW</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Avg. depth (m)</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Width (m)</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Length (m)</td>
<td>15</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Contexts</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>context no</td>
<td>type</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Layer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Layer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>Fill</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>Cut</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Figure 1: Site location showing archaeological trenches (black) in development area (red)
Figure 2: Trench plan
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