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Summary

In September 2016 an archaeological evaluation was carried out on land, proposed for a cemetery extension, within Waterbeach Barracks and Airfield, to the west of the existing cemetery. Three trenches were excavated across the site and these revealed that a large part of the site, perhaps 1/3, has been disturbed by modern intrusions. Additionally three furrows and a possible single post hole were identified.
1 INTRODUCTION

1.1 Location and scope of work
1.1.1 An archaeological evaluation was conducted at Land West of Waterbeach Cemetery, Waterbeach Barracks & Airfield, Waterbeach, Cambridgeshire (TL 4933 6625; Fig. 1). The site comprised an area to be considered for the extension of the modern cemetery.

1.1.2 This archaeological evaluation was undertaken in accordance with a Written Scheme of Investigation (WSI) prepared by OA East (Macaulay 2016).

1.1.3 The work was designed to assist in defining the character and extent of any archaeological remains within the proposed redevelopment area, in accordance with the guidelines set out in National Planning Policy Framework (Department for Communities and Local Government March 2012). The results will enable decisions to be made by CCC, on behalf of the Local Planning Authority, with regard to the treatment of any archaeological remains found.

1.1.4 The site archive is currently held by OA East and will be deposited with the appropriate county stores in due course.

1.2 Geology and topography
1.2.1 The site lies at the northern end of the historic town of Waterbeach, on a parcel of land immediately to the west of Waterbeach cemetery, within the former site of the airfield and barracks. The site lies at approximately 10m above Ordnance Datum (OD) and is approximately 0.4 hectares in an open space scrubland.

1.2.2 The underlying geology of the proposed development site comprises Gault Clay Formation - Mudstone Bedrock. Superficial deposits are described as River Terrace Gravels -.Sand and Gravel (http://www.bgs.ac.uk/discoveringGeology/geologyOfBritain/viewer.html accessed 10th September 2016).

1.3 Archaeological and historical background
1.3.1 Archaeological assessment work in relation to the archaeological potential of the wider Waterbeach Barracks and Airfield site is ongoing. This work includes a search of the Cambridge HER; a study of historical aerial photographs of the Site; a cartographic search; LIDAR survey interpretation; and geophysical survey.

1.3.2 Waterbeach is a Parish originally part of the Northstowe hundred, which was most probably created during the 10th century and is first recorded in the Domesday book of 1086 (Wright & Lewis 1989). A search was carried out of the Cambridgeshire County Council Historic Environment Record (CHER).

Heritage Records in the vicinity of the Site
Prehistoric

1.3.3 Earlier Prehistoric remains are confined to two Palaeolithic polished stone axeheads (05358 and 05473) found approximately 1km to the northwest of the site. Later Prehistoric remains are limited to a findspot of Neolithic flint axeheads (00343; Fig. 1) found 450m to the south of Site.
Roman

1.3.4 A number of Roman findspots are recorded, namely: a 2nd century AD Disc Brooch found (09702; Fig. 1) found 350m to the southeast of the site; and pottery (05349; Fig. 1) found 800m to the west of the site. Further to this, a large assemblage of finds was recovered from within the airfield, 600m to the northwest of the site, whilst digging a cable trench in the mid 1980s (11331; Fig. 1). The assemblage consisted of pottery, hypocaust tiles, four coins, four nails, a bone comb, animal bone and a fragment of human skull. Also in the wider landscape of Waterbeach are two Roman monuments. The Car Dyke Roman Canal (09823) is located 700m to the west of the site and the Roman road known as Akeman Street (05725, 05766), constructed in the mid 2nd century AD, lies farther to the west in the Parish.

Post-Roman

1.3.5 No Anglo-Saxon or medieval remains or findspots have been recorded within the vicinity of the site.

1.3.6 A search of past Ordnance Survey maps of the site at http://old-maps.co.uk was carried out to determine the more recent use of the site. It was determined that the site was agricultural farmland prior to its incorporation into Waterbeach Barracks and Airfield from at least AD1888. The cemetery immediately to the east of the site was also noted to have been present at this date.

1.3.7 Modern activity in the vicinity of the site is dominated by Waterbeach barracks and airfield (CB 15155).

Previous archaeological work near the Site

1.3.8 In 2004 an evaluation on land adjacent to the entrance of Waterbeach barracks (ECB 2325; Fig. 1), 200m to the southeast of the site, identified two west-northwest to east-southeast aligned parallel ditches of probable Roman origin.

1.4 Acknowledgements

1.4.1 The author would like to extend thanks to Richard Hepworth of Urban and Civic PLC for commissioning the archaeological works. The fieldwork was undertaken by the author with the assistance of Graeme Clarke, Lindsey Kemp and Neus Esparsa Nogues. The site survey was carried out by Charlotte Walton. Machine excavation was undertaken by Anthill Plant Hire. The project was managed by Stephen Macaulay, while Andy Thomas monitored the evaluation of behalf of CCC HET.
2 AIMS AND METHODOLOGY

2.1 Aims
2.1.1 The objective of this evaluation was to determine as far as reasonably possible the presence/absence, location, nature, extent, date, quality, condition and significance of any surviving archaeological deposits within the development area.

2.2 Methodology
2.2.1 The WSI required that three trenches: two measuring 30 x2m, and the third measuring 40 x2m be excavated across the site. These trenches were evenly spaced and amounted to 5% of the 0.4 hectare proposed development area.

2.2.2 Machine excavation was carried out under constant archaeological supervision with a 20-tonne 360 excavator using a toothless ditching bucket.

2.2.3 The site survey was carried out using a Leica GS08 GPS with SmartNET.

2.2.4 Spoil, exposed surfaces and features were scanned with a metal detector. All metal-detected and hand-collected finds were retained for inspection, other than those which were obviously modern.

2.2.5 All archaeological features and deposits were recorded using OA East's *pro-forma* sheets. Trench locations, plans and sections were recorded at appropriate scales and colour and monochrome photographs were taken of all relevant features and deposits.

2.2.6 A total of three bulk environmental soil samples were taken in order to investigate the possible survival of micro- and macro-botanical remains.

2.2.7 Site conditions were clear and dry.
3 RESULTS

3.1 Introduction
3.1.1 The three trenches (Trenches 132, 133 & 134) excavated will be described below, along with a description of the finds and the environmental remains recovered.

3.1.2 Topsoil (1) across the site consisted of a dark brown-grey clay silt, which measured 0.23m to 0.65m in thickness, Subsoil (2) consisted of a mid brown grey clay silt, measuring between 0.14m and 0.25m in thickness.

3.1.3 The results of the archaeological works are presented below by trench. Full details of context and trench descriptions can be found in Appendix A.

3.2 Trench 132
3.2.1 A single post hole and an East-West aligned furrow were identified in trench 132. Post hole 4 measured 0.33m wide and 0.34m deep with steeply sloping sides. It was filled with a light brown grey sandy silt (5). Furrow 6 measured 1m wide and 0.12m deep with gently sloping sides. It was filled with a mid brown grey sandy silt (7)

3.3 Trench 133
3.3.1 Two parallel East-West aligned furrows were identified in Trench 133. Furrow 8 measured 0.72m wide and 0.07m deep with gently sloping sides. It was filled with a mid brown grey sandy silt (9). Furrow 12 measured 1m wide and 0.09m deep with gently sloping sides. It was filled with a mid brown grey sandy silt (13)

3.3.2 Trench 134
3.3.3 Trench 134 was entirely truncated by a large Modern disturbance 10. The trench was excavated to 1.5m by machine and then immediately backfilled. Modern services ran across the base of the trench however no archaeology was present.

3.4 Finds Summary
3.4.1 A modern fragment of Cu alloy was recovered from the topsoil and a Late medieval sherd of pottery was recovered from the subsoil (see Appendix B). No finds were recovered from features.

3.5 Environmental Summary
3.5.1 A total of three bulk soil samples were taken from the post hole, and two of the furrows. Environmental remains were poor with only a single charred wheat grain recovered (see Appendix C)
4 DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSIONS

4.1 Discussion
4.1.1 The evaluation at the proposed cemetery expansion site on Land West of Waterbeach Cemetery revealed that the area to be considered has to a significant extent been truncated and disturbed by modern activity (c.30%). The archaeology present in the undisturbed part of the site amounts to a series of shallow undated linear features on an east-west alignment. These are considered to represent cultivation furrows associated with medieval ‘ridge and furrow’ agriculture. The single post hole was not entirely convincing and due to the level of modern seeds and rooting within the feature, could even be classed as root disturbance.

4.2 Recommendations
4.2.1 Recommendations for any future work based upon this report will be made by the County Archaeology Office.
## APPENDIX A. TRENCH DESCRIPTIONS AND CONTEXT INVENTORY

### Trench 132

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>General description</th>
<th>Orientation</th>
<th>NW-SE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Trench contained an E-W aligned furrow and a possible post hole. Geology consisted of sands and gravels</td>
<td>Avg. depth (m)</td>
<td>0.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Width (m)</td>
<td>2.10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Length (m)</td>
<td>30</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Contexts

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>context no</th>
<th>type</th>
<th>Width (m)</th>
<th>Depth (m)</th>
<th>comment</th>
<th>finds</th>
<th>date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Layer</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>Topsoil</td>
<td>Cu alloy</td>
<td>Modern</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Layer</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>Subsoil</td>
<td>Pottery</td>
<td>Late Medieval</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Cut</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>Post hole</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Fill</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>Post hole fill</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Cut</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>Furrow</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Fill</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>Furrow fill</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Trench 133

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>General description</th>
<th>Orientation</th>
<th>SW-NE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Trench contained two E-W aligned furrows. Geology consisted of sands and gravels</td>
<td>Avg. depth (m)</td>
<td>0.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Width (m)</td>
<td>2.10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Length (m)</td>
<td>40</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Contexts

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>context no</th>
<th>type</th>
<th>Width (m)</th>
<th>Depth (m)</th>
<th>comment</th>
<th>finds</th>
<th>date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Layer</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>Topsoil</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>Subsoil</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>Furrow</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>Furrow fill</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>Furrow</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>Furrow fill</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Trench 134

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>General description</th>
<th>Orientation</th>
<th>NW-SE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Trench Was entirely truncated by modern intrusions. Geology consisted of sands and gravels</td>
<td>Avg. depth (m)</td>
<td>1.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Width (m)</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Length (m)</td>
<td>30</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Contexts

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>context no</th>
<th>type</th>
<th>Width (m)</th>
<th>Depth (m)</th>
<th>comment</th>
<th>finds</th>
<th>date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Layer</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td></td>
<td>Subsoil</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Cut</td>
<td>&gt;1.5</td>
<td></td>
<td>Modern disturbance</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Fill</td>
<td>&gt;1.5</td>
<td></td>
<td>Modern disturbance</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
APPENDIX B. FINDS REPORTS

B.1 Pottery

By Carole Fletcher

Introduction

B.1.1 A rim sherd from a Late Medieval Reduced ware bowl (Mid 14th-end 15th century) was recovered from context 2. The sherd is moderately abraded to abraded and is too small to establish the diameter of the bowl. Its presence may be due to manuring with the material derived from nearby occupation.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Context</th>
<th>Fabric</th>
<th>Vessel Form</th>
<th>Sherd Count</th>
<th>Sherd weight (kg)</th>
<th>Date Range</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Late Medieval Reduced ware</td>
<td>Bowl rim sherd</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.012</td>
<td>Mid 14th-end 15th century</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Table 1: Pottery catalogue*
B.2 Environmental samples

By Rachel Fosberry

Introduction

B.2.1 Three bulk samples were taken from features within the evaluated area in order to assess the quality of preservation of plant remains and their potential to provide useful data as part of further archaeological investigations.

Methodology

B.2.2 The total volume (up to 17 litres) of each bulk sample was then processed by water flotation (using a modified Siraff three-tank system) for the recovery of charred plant remains, dating evidence and any other artefactual evidence that might be present. The floating component (flot) of the samples was collected in a 0.3mm nylon mesh and the residue was washed through 10mm, 5mm, 2mm and a 0.5mm sieve. Both flot and residues were allowed to air dry. The dried flots were subsequently sorted using a binocular microscope at magnifications up to x 60 and an abbreviated list of the recorded remains are presented in Table 1. Identification of plant remains is with reference to the Digital Seed Atlas of the Netherlands (Cappers et al. 2006) and the authors' own reference collection. Nomenclature is according to Zohary and Hopf (2000) for cereals and Stace (1997) for other plants. Carbonized seeds and grains, by the process of burning and burial, become blackened and often distort and fragment leading to difficulty in identification. Plant remains have been identified to species where possible. The identification of cereals has been based on the characteristic morphology of the grains and chaff as described by Jacomet (2006).

Quantification

B.2.3 For the purpose of this initial assessment, items such as cereal grains have been scanned and recorded qualitatively according to the following categories

# = 1-5, ## = 6-25, ### = 26-100, #### = 100+ specimens

Items that cannot be easily quantified such as charcoal have been scored for abundance

+ = rare, ++ = moderate, +++ = abundant

Results

B.2.4 There was a considerable amount of rooting and intrusive modern seeds within all of the samples. A single charred wheat (Triticum sp.) grain is present in Sample 1, fill 5 of post hole 4 and this sample also contains occasional small fragments (<0.5cm) of degraded pottery. The samples from ditch 8 and furrow 6 are devoid of preserved plant remains.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Trench No.</th>
<th>Sample No.</th>
<th>Cxt No.</th>
<th>Cut No.</th>
<th>Feature Type</th>
<th>Sample size (L)</th>
<th>% cnt sampled</th>
<th>Cereals</th>
<th>Charcoal</th>
<th>Pottery</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>132</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>Posthole</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>&lt;20</td>
<td>#</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>133</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>Ditch</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>&lt;10</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>134</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>Furrow</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>&lt;10</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2: Environmental samples from ECB4790

Discussion

B.2.5  The environmental samples taken from this area have shown that there is limited potential for the recovery of preserved remains.
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Figure 1: Site location showing cemetery extension area (red) with evaluation trenches (black) and selected CHER entries (blue)
Figure 2: Trench location plan
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