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Summary

Between the 13th and 14th February 2017, Oxford Archaeology East undertook a trial trench evaluation at Kings College School, Cambridge (TL4405 5583). This evaluation comprised the excavation and recording of three trenches.

No archaeological features or remains were uncovered by the evaluation.
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1 INTRODUCTION

1.1 Scope of work

1.1.1 Oxford Archaeology (OA) was commissioned by Savills to undertake a trial trench evaluation at the site of Kings College School.

1.1.2 The work was undertaken as a condition of Planning Permission (planning ref. 15/1309/FUL) to inform the Planning Authority in advance of a submission of a Planning Application. A brief was set by Gemma Stewart of CCC HET and a written scheme of investigation was produced by OA detailing the Local Authority’s requirements for work necessary to inform the planning process/discharge the planning condition. This document outlines how OA implemented the specified requirements.

1.2 Location, topography and geology

1.2.1 The area of proposed development lies within the school grounds and is currently used as a netball court.

1.2.2 The underlying geology of the area is mapped as river terrace gravels overlying the Gault formation.

1.3 Archaeological and historical background

1.3.1 The following background is drawn from the CHER records provided with the Brief (Stewart 2017).

1.3.2 An evaluation at Selwyn College (ECB1026; Regan 2003) revealed a possible Roman boundary ditch and post-medieval quarrying. Evidence for prehistoric and Roman settlement was uncovered during an excavation at Burrell’s Field (ECB987; Gdaniec 1992).

1.3.3 The site lies in area of known Anglo-Saxon burials west of the Bin Brook at Newnham (HER05033) and at Kings College Garden Hostel (MCB 15897). These relate to a settlement uncovered during excavations at the Institute of Criminology (ECB1993; Armour et al 2003) (CB15349). To the west of the site, an evaluation and excavation (ECB1589/1590; Dodwell et al 2004) uncovered a Saxon burial ground with 21 individuals.

1.3.4 An evaluation at the school, to the south of the current site (ECB 1099; Macaualy 2002) revealed an undated re-cut ditch. An evaluation (ECB1585; Gibson 1996) at the University Library, to the north, revealed an undated ditch and some medieval and post-medieval pottery.

1.3.5 Observations at St Johns College Playing Fields (ECB1302; Evans 1991) produced residual artefacts from the Bronze Age to post-medieval period but no evidence for the Anglo-Saxon cemetery whilst an evaluation on the fields (ECB3063; Cessford 2013) uncovered Roman ditches and quarrying. An evaluation at 5 West Road (ECB1066; Mackay 2002) uncovered ditches with residual Saxon pottery, at 7 West Road (ECB3250; Collins 2009) a late medieval/post-medieval field boundary and evidence for Victorian quarrying were recorded. Evidence for Victorian quarrying was uncovered at 9 West Road (ECB1066; Patten 2002).
2  EVALUATION AIMS AND METHODOLOGY

2.1  Aims

2.1.1  The evaluation will seek to establish the character, date, state of preservation, and extent of any archaeological remains within the proposed development area.

2.1.2  In the event that archaeological remains are present, the evaluation will provide sufficient information to construct an archaeological conservation strategy, dealing with preservation, the recording of archaeological deposits, working practices, timetables and orders of cost.

2.1.3  This evaluation took place within, and sought contribute to the goals of Regional Research Frameworks relevant to this area:

- Research and Archaeology: A Framework for the Eastern counties: 1. Resource Assessment (Glazebrook 1997, East Anglian Archaeology Occasional Papers 3);

2.2  Methodology

2.2.1  A total of three trenches were excavated (2 x 10m & 1 x 15m). These were located to avoid known services/obstructions, and maximise coverage of the site. The trench locations were CAT scanned to check for the presence of live services, prior to excavation.

2.2.2  In the event, concrete slab was uncovered immediately beneath the tarmac at the western end of Trench 2 and the southern end of Trench 3.

2.2.3  The trenches were excavated by a JCB type mechanical excavator under constant supervision of a suitably qualified and experienced archaeologist. A 1.8m wide toothless ditching bucket was used. The tarmac sealing the site was first cut with a circular saw to a width of 2.40m for each trench. This allowed the machine to excavate to the full 2m width of the trench with a 0.20m clearance either side of the trench edge in order to maintain clean edges for the re-instatement of the trenches.

2.2.4  The trenches were cleaned by trowel in order to clarify soil horizons and deposits and metal detected after cleaning. Trench spoil was scanned visually and with a metal detector to aid recovery of artefacts. No metal finds were recovered.
3 Results

3.1 Introduction and presentation of results

3.1.1 The evaluation uncovered no archaeological remains. A description of each trench is presented below that includes full details, dimensions and depths of all deposits.

3.2 General soils and ground conditions

3.2.1 The soil sequence between all trenches was fairly uniform. The natural geology of mixed gravels with occasional patches of sand was overlain by a friable, dark reddish brown sandy silt subsoil, which in turn was overlain by dark grey brown sandy silt topsoil.

3.2.2 Ground conditions throughout the evaluation were generally good, and the trenches remained dry throughout. Any archaeological features, had they been present, would have been easy to identify against the underlying natural geology.

3.3 Trench 1

3.3.1 Trench 1 was aligned north to south and located along the western edge of the netball court (Plate 1). Underlying the tarmac surface was a layer of made ground that was approximately 0.15m thick and comprised hardcore, brick rubble and sand. This was presumably a levelling layer sealing sterile top (0.40m thick) and subsoil (0.25m thick) as described in Section 3.2.

3.3.2 A cable was uncovered at the eastern end of the trench and so it was not excavated to its full depth here to avoid any disturbance.

3.3.3 No archaeological features or deposits were recorded in this trench.

3.4 Trench 2

3.4.1 Trench 2 was located in the southern part of the development site, aligned east to west (Plate 2). Immediately beneath the tarmac surface, a concrete slab extended from the western edge of the trench for 9m. To the east were modern brick built foundations running north to south. These were approximately 0.50 in width and spaced 3m apart. These structural remnants precluded full excavation of the trench.

3.4.2 Between the modern disturbance it was possible to excavate the trench down to the natural deposits. The sequence in this trench comprised a layer of hardcore, brick rubble and sand made ground that was approximately 0.20m thick. This sealed sterile top and subsoil deposits as described in Section 3.2.

3.4.3 No archaeological features or deposits were recorded in this trench.

3.5 Trench 3

3.5.1 Trench 3 lay on the eastern side of the development site and was aligned north to south (Plate 3). As with Trench 2, a concrete slab was uncovered immediately beneath the tarmac surface, extending from its southern trench edge for 10m. The northern edge of the slab was delineated by a line of modern bricks. These was cleaned and investigated by hand and revealed that the bricks were set on a concrete slab that, based upon the make up of the concrete appeared to be contemporary with another concrete foundation immediately to the
north. The northern part of the trench contained little modern disturbance and it was possible here to excavate the trench to its full depth.

3.5.2 A number of darker patches of material were identified at the full depth of the trench and these were investigated in order to ascertain whether or not they were in fact archaeological features but in the event it was apparent, based upon their uneven, irregular, shallow profiles, that they were most likely to be geological anomalies.

3.5.3 The sequence in this trench comprised a layer of hardcore, brick rubble and sand made ground that was approximately 0.20m thick. This sealed sterile top and subsoil deposits as described in Section 3.2.

3.5.4 No archaeological features or deposits were recorded in this trench.

3.6 Finds summary

3.6.1 No finds were recovered during the course of the evaluation.
4 DISCUSSION

4.1 Reliability of field investigation

4.1.1 The presence of modern structural remains in the form of concrete slab and foundations did preclude the full excavation of all but one of the trenches. These were associated with the squash court known to have stood on the site prior to its use as a netball court. This activity had quite clearly led to truncation on the site that in places extended to almost one metre below the current ground level.

4.2.6 Where it was possible to excavate the trenches to their full depth there was absolutely no evidence of any archaeological activity. Furthermore, the topsoil and subsoil layers contained no artefactual evidence and it is therefore suggested the negative results of these works are reliable.

4.2 Significance

4.2.7 The evaluation recorded no archaeological features or deposits.
## APPENDIX A  TRENCH DESCRIPTIONS AND CONTEXT INVENTORY

### Trench 1

**General description**
Trench devoid of archaeology. Consists of tarmac (0.10m), made ground, topsoil and subsoil overlying natural geology of silty sand.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Context No.</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Width (m)</th>
<th>Depth (m)</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Finds</th>
<th>Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>Layer</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>Made ground</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>101</td>
<td>Layer</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>Topsoil</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>102</td>
<td>Layer</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>Subsoil</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>103</td>
<td>Layer</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Natural</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Trench 2

**General description**
Trench devoid of archaeology. Consists of tarmac (0.10m), made ground, topsoil and subsoil overlying natural geology of silty sand. Modern foundations and concrete slab recorded within trench.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Context No.</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Width (m)</th>
<th>Depth (m)</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Finds</th>
<th>Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>200</td>
<td>Layer</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>Made ground</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>201</td>
<td>Layer</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>Topsoil</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>202</td>
<td>Layer</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>Subsoil</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>203</td>
<td>Layer</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Natural</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Trench 3

**General description**
Trench devoid of archaeology. Consists of tarmac (0.10m), made ground, topsoil and subsoil overlying natural geology of silty sand. Modern foundations and concrete slab recorded within trench.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Context No.</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Width (m)</th>
<th>Depth (m)</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Finds</th>
<th>Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>300</td>
<td>Layer</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>Made Ground</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>301</td>
<td>Layer</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>Topsoil</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>302</td>
<td>Layer</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>Subsoil</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>303</td>
<td>Layer</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Natural</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Figure 1: Site location map
Figure 2: Trench plans
Plate 3: Trench 3, looking north